

Volume 12 Issue 4 October - December 2024

Impact Factor: 7.07

Enquiry: contact@continentalpub.online

Published by Continental Publication | http://continentalpub.online/index.php/apmsei

PRESERVERS OF THE ECO-BALANCE: INVESTIGATING CAMBODIA'S BIO-PESTICIDE LANDSCAPE THROUGH EXTENSIVE USAGE ANALYSIS

Sophea Chanraksmey Kim

Department of Environmental Science, University of Phnom Penh, Cambodia.

Abstract: The widespread reliance on chemical pesticides in modern agriculture, particularly in South East Asia, has bolstered crop protection but simultaneously raised pressing concerns about its detrimental impact on human health and the environment. Cambodia, in particular, has witnessed a tenfold surge in pesticide imports over the past decade, further exacerbated by illicit border imports. Given the substantial agricultural engagement of its population, this surge amplifies environmental health risks. This escalating concern has driven a growing demand to seek safer alternatives for crop protection. Bio-pesticides, offering targeted pest control with minimal residue and environmental impact, present a viable solution. While biopesticides have demonstrated their efficacy in Cambodian vegetable production, their adoption remains limited, largely due to farmer skepticism regarding effectiveness and availability. In response to this challenge, this study delves into the current state of bio-pesticide use among Cambodian vegetable farmers. By scrutinizing the materials, formulation, application methods. and effectiveness of bio-pesticides, it seeks to provide essential insights for enhancing bio-pesticide management practices. Ultimately, this research aims to facilitate the wider adoption of bio-pesticides as a sustainable alternative to chemical pesticides, addressing both crop protection needs and environmental health concerns.

Keywords: Chemical pesticides, Bio-pesticides, Crop protection, *Agricultural* sustainability, Cambodia agriculture.

1. Introduction

Chemical pesticides play an important role in modern agriculture, protecting crops from potential yield loss and reduction product of quality minimizing or avoiding pest and disease infestations (Damalas, 2009). Due to effectiveness and low cost, the chemicals are becoming a vital input in the agricultural productions

(Havnes. 1988). The pesticide dependence is heavily on vegetable productions in South East Asia countries (Schreinemachers et al., 2017). However, the chemicals pose several adverse human effects health environment, causing several diseases and poisoning; polluting water, air and soil; and affecting non-target organisms in the ecosystem (Damalas et al., 2001; Aktar et al., 2009). In Cambodia, growth in pesticide imports has been estimated about 10 times in quantity over the last ten years (FAO, 2022). In addition, pesticide importation also occurs by active illegal importers along the uncontrolled borders of Cambodia with Thailand and Vietnam (MOE, 2004). The fast rate of this increase causes concerns about how to challenge management of environmental health Cambodia is a developing country in

which the related risks are much greater where a majority of the population is involved in the agriculture (WHO, 1990; ADB, 2021). Consequently, there is an increasing public pressure to replace the chemical Copyright: © 2024 Continental Publication

Vol. 12 No. 4 | Imp. Factor: 7.0725 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14161408

pesticides, if possible, with safer alternatives for crop protection. Bio-pesticides may represent an alternative path in crop protection because they destroy especially the target pests, leaving no residue on food or in the environment (Ahmed *et al.*, 2021). In Cambodia, the biopesticides prove to be effective in reducing damages by target pests in vegetable production, leading to significant yield gains (Ramasamy *et al.*, 2020). However, a minority of the Cambodian vegetable farmers have used biopesticide in pest control. This is mainly due to negative thinking of the farmers regarding bio-pesticide effectiveness and availability in Cambodia (Schreinemachers *et al.*, 2017; Sokcheng and Molideth, 2021). So there is a need for detail information about current status of bio-pesticide use among vegetable farmers in Cambodia in order to improve bio-pesticide management practices and increase substitution of chemical pesticides by the biopesticides. The study therefore aims to describe materials and mixture formulation used in biopesticide preparation, application and effectiveness in Cambodian vegetable production.

2. Materials and Methods

The study was conducted in Cambodia's central provinces including Battambang, Siem Reap, and Kampong Thom, Kandal, Svay Reang and Takeo. Among the rice farmers, there has been no report about bio-pesticide use (Matsukawa *et al.*, 2016). The vegetable farmers also depend on chemical pesticides in pest control, while only 2% of them have experience in bio-pesticide use (Schreinemachers *et al.*, 2017; Sokcheng and Molideth, 2021). The study design focused on active farmer's families who have culture of farming and long experience with vegetable production in the entire year. Our research team went firstly to ask village chief for the farmer information to reach such targeting farmers. Data was collected through a farm survey by face to face interviews with farmers and older family members across the provinces over a five-month period from July to November, 2022. The interviews, using a list of questions, covered the following topics: experience of botanical pesticide use, material selection, botanical pesticide preparation and use, effectiveness, and current status. A proportion of biomaterial quantity used was simplified into a volume of the mixture solution.

3. Results and Discussion

The results showed that 183 farmer families with multiple vegetable farming and reliance of agricultural production as the main source of income were selected for interview, but 112 farmers were returned valid meaning that these farmers had experience in bio-pesticide preparation and use. Most farmers 83(74%) were trained by agriculture extension officers or NGO staff in support of sustainable agriculture program which promotes safety and quality of food and life, use of renewable resources, and reduction of hazardous chemical pesticides and environmental health risks. While other farmers 29 (26%) received training from the neighbors or relatives. 17 plants shown in table 1, cow urine, wood ash and white sake were used in pesticide preparation. These materials are local natural resource products in the farmer ecosystems. Chili pepper, lemongrass, turmeric, ginger and galangal are known by the Cambodians as condimental crops for Cambodian food plates. Papava and sugar apple are fruit crops and widely cultivated in Cambodia. Yam bean is also important tuber crop in Cambodian market. Bitter vine is medicinal plant for traditional medicine in Cambodia, while tropical vam and strychnine trees are wild plants in Cambodia and known as poisonous plants to the humans. Tobacco is an industrial crop in Cambodia as well as other countries in the world. Chromolaena odorata, Datura metel, Neem, and Eucalyptus are wild plants and weeds in Cambodia. These plant tissues were used in bio-pesticide preparation due to insecticidal effects (Baidoo and Mochiah, 2016; Kimutai et al., 2017; Tavares et al., 2016; Madreseh-Ghahfarokhi et al., 2018; Abdullah et al., 2015; Nagata et al., 2017; Selvaraj et al., 2017; Kesetyaningsih, 2012; Rahayu et al., 2020; Ahmad et al., 2016; Jiraungkoorskul, 2019; Basukriadi and Wilkins, 2014; Udebuani et al., 2015; Kuganathan and Ganeshalingam, 2010; Benelli et al., 2016; Batish et al., 2008; Wurvantini et al., 2020). White sake, wood ash and cow urine were considered as toxicant components in combination with plant materials. 29 botanical pesticides were found and prepared with 2 to 6 materials (Table 2). Most pesticides were made Copyright: © 2024 Continental Publication

Vol. 12 No. 4 | Imp. Factor: 7.0725 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14161408

with 2 and 3 materials, of which chilli pepper, tobacco and neem were predominantly used by the farmers 50% (56), 43% (48) and 36.6% (41), respectively. Farmers used different mixture formulation as seen in the table 2. Ingredient weight in the mixture varied with formulation, number of the ingredients and the farmers. Sun cured tobaccos were used, while other plant parts were fresh. The bio-pesticide preparation consisted of trituration of botanical materials, materials soaking in water for 2-4 days and pesticide solution extraction. On an average, 1liter of the prepared pesticides was mixed with 15L of water for farm spraying. The pesticide use aimed to kill insects in the vegetable productions, of which the effectiveness ranged from 50 % to 100 % varying with insect types and densities according to the farmers. The farmers reported that the pesticides were less effective against insect miners, borers and leaf rollers. Furthermore, most farmers paid high cost and faced availability limit of the materials. These findings suggest that the plant materials for bio-pesticide production should be secured by increase in the agricultural production to fulfill the demand and decrease in the cost. On the same way, the bio-pesticide effectiveness should be increased by optimization of material selection, mixture, formulation, and preparation.

Table 1: Used materials

English names	Scientific names	Family name	mily name Khmer names	
Chinese yam	Dioscorea hispida	Dioscoreaceae	ia.	Tubers (3-4)
Neem	Azadirachta indica	Meliaceae	sed	Leaves (3-4)
Bitter vine	Tinespera crispa Miers	Menispermecese	เลี้ยญเหรือ	Aerial parts (3-4)
Lemongrass	Cymbopogon citratus	Panicoideae	र्वकृष	Aerial parts (3-4)
Strychnine tree	Sanchnee nux:	Loganiaceae	legs	Leaves + fruits (2- 3)
Datura metel	Datura metel	Solanaceae	ent.	Fruits + leaves (3-4)
Tobacco	Nicetiana tabacum	Solanaceae	giori	Leaves (0.5-1)
Chili pepper	Саргісит аппиит	Solanaceae	elen.	Fruits (1-2)
Eucalyptus	Eucalyptus tereticarnis	Myrtaceae	ด้องสำเร	Leaves (3-4)
Papaya	Carica papaya	Caricaceae	nga	Leaves (3-4)
Sugar-apple	Annona squamosal	Annonaceae	se)u	Leaves (3-4)
Galangal	Alpinia galangal	Zingiberaceae	Insa	Tibers (2-3)
Turmeric	Curcuma longa	Zingiberaceae	សូរ	Tibers (2-3)
Ginger	Zingiber officinale	Zingiberaceae	1	Tibers (2-3)
Chromolaena odorata	Eupatorium adaratum	Asteraceae	16 tal.	Leaves (3-4)
Yam bean	Pachyrhizus erosus	Fabaceae	Cat	Seeds (2-2.5)
White sake	27	12	828	(1-2)
Wood ash	23	928		(1-2)
Cow urine	-	1.5	VI28	(1-2)

Table 2: Bio -pesticide formulation

Material mixture	N	% Tra	ainers
Tobacco + galangal	2	1.78 NC	GO / government officers
Tobacco + neem + galangal + Chinese yam	1	0.89	NGO / government officers
Tobacco + neem + Chinese yam	8	7.15	NGO / government officers
Tobacco + neem	5	4.46	Neighbors / relatives
Tobacco + turmeric + white sake	2	1.78	NGO / government officers
Tobacco + sugar-apple + oil			NGO / government officers

Copyright: © 2024 Continental Publication

Vol. 12 No. 4 | Imp. Factor: 7.0725 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14161408 Tobacco + chili pepper + neem + Datura metel + Chinese yam1 0.89 NGO / government officers + galangal Tobacco + chili pepper + eucalyptus + strychnine tree 5 NGO / government officers 4.46 Tobacco + chili pepper + white sake 11 9.82 Neighbors / relatives NGO / government officers Tobacco + chili pepper + ginger 3 2.68 NGO / government officers Tobacco + chili pepper + lemongrass 5 4.46 Tobacco + chili pepper + cow urine 3 2.68 Neighbors / relatives 2 Tobacco + chili pepper + wood ash 1.78 Neighbors / relatives Chinese yam + chili pepper + strychnine tree NGO / government officers 6 5.38 Chinese yam + chili pepper + turmeric NGO / government officers 2 1.78 5 Chinese yam + chili pepper + wood ash 4.46 NGO / government officers 3 Wood ash + chili pepper + white sake 2.68 Neighbors / relatives Sugar-apple + chili pepper + yam bean 3 2.68 NGO / government officers 1 Datura metel + chili pepper + galangal 0.89 NGO / government officers 3 NGO / government officers Neem + chili pepper + ginger 2.68 Neem + chili pepper + strychnine tree 3 2.68 NGO / government officers Neem + wood ash 5 4.46 Neighbors / relatives 3 NGO / government officers Neem + bitter vine 2.68 NGO / government officers Neem + bitter vine + galangal 6 5.38 Neem + chromolaena odorata + galangal 4 3.57 NGO / government officers Neem + papaya + strychnine tree NGO / government officers 10 8.93 Chinese yam + papaya 5 4.46 NGO / government officers Sugar-apple + eucalyptus 2 1.78 NGO / government officers Datura metel + eucalyptus 3 2.68 NGO / government officers (NGO = non-government organization)

4. Conclusion

From the study it was event that the use of the bio-pesticides was limited due to cost and availability of the materials, and effectiveness. Hence, the suggestion is that the bio-pesticide use should be significantly improved through effectiveness optimization and increase in agricultural production of the preparatory materials.

Acknowledgement

This work was funded by Cambodia Higher Education Improvement Project (Credit No. 6221-KH). We are grateful to the enumerator teams for collecting the data used in the study.

References

Abdullah F., Subramanian P., Ibrahim H., Abdul Malek SN., Lee G.S., Hong SL. (2015). Chemical composition, antifeedant, repellent, and toxicity activities of the rhizomes of galangal, Alpinia galanga against Asian subterranean termites, *Coptotermes gestroi* and *Coptotermes curvignathus* (Isoptera: *Rhinotermitidae*). J Insect Sci., 15(1):175. DOI: 10.1093/jisesa/ieu175.

Ahmad W., Jantan I., and Bukhari S. N. A. (2016). *Tinospora crispa* (L.) Hook. f. & Thomson: A Review of Its Ethnobotanical, Phytochemical, and Pharmacological Aspects. Front. Pharmacol., Sec. Ethnopharmacology, 7. DOI: 10.3389/fphar.2016.00059.

Ahmed N., Alam M., Saeed M., Ullah H., Iqbal T., Al-Mutairi K. A., Shahjeer K., Ullah R., Ahmed S., Ahmed N. A. A. H., Khater H. F., and Salman M. (2021). Botanical insecticides are a non-toxic alternative to

Copyright: © 2024 Continental Publication

Vol. 12 No. 4 | Imp. Factor: 7.0725 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14161408

DUI: https://doi.org/10.5281/Zenodo.14161408

- conventional pesticides in the control of insects and pests. Global Decline of Insects. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.100416.
- Basukriadi A., and Wilkins R. M. Oviposition Deterrent Activities of *Pachyrhizus erosus* Seed Extract and Other Natural Products on *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: *Plutellidae*). Journal of Insect Science, 14, 1, 244, DOI: 10.1093/jisesa/ieu106.
- Aktar M. W., Sengupta D., and Chowdhury A. (2009). Impact of pesticides use in agriculture: their benefits and hazards. Interdiscip Toxicol., 2(1), 1-12. DOI: 10.2478/v10102-009-0001-7
- Asian Development Bank (ADB). (2021). Cambodia agriculture, natural resources, and rural development, sector assessment, strategy, and road map. Manila, Philippines, 2021 DOI: 10.22617/TCS210256-2.
- Batish D. R., Singh H. P., Kohli R. K., and Kaur S. (2008). Eucalyptus essential oil as a natural pesticide. Forest Ecology and Management, 256(12):2166-2174. DOI: 10.1016/j.foreco.2008.08.008.
- Benelli G., Canale A., Toniolo C., Higuni A., Murugan K., Pavela R., and Nicoletti M. (2016). Neem (*Azadirachta indica*): towards the ideal insecticide? Natural Product Research, 31, 4. DOI: 10.1080/14786419.2016.1214834.
- Damalas C. A, Eleftherohorinos I. G. (2001). Pesticide exposure, safety issues, and risk assessment indicators. Int J Environ Res Public Health, 8(5), 1402-19. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph8051402.
- Damalas C. A. (2009). Understanding benefits and risks of pesticide use. J. Sci. Res. Essay, 4(10): 945-949.
- de Souza Tavares W., Akhtar Y., Gonçalves G., Zanucio J. C., and Isman M. B. (2016). Turmeric powder and its derivatives from *Curcuma longa rhizomes*: Insecticidal effects on cabbage looper and the role of synergists. Sci. Rep., 6, 34093 (2016). DOI: 10.1038/srep34093
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2010–2020). Statistics Division. Input—pesticides trade. https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/RT. (January 15, 2022).
- Haynes K. F. (1988). Sublethal effects of neurotoxic insecticides on insect behaviour. Ann. Rev. Entomol., 33,149–168. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.en.33.010188.001053.
- Jiraungkoorskul W. (2019). Efficiency of *Tinospora crispa* against *Culex quinquefasciatus* larva. Environ Sci. Pollut. Res. Int., 26(15):14712-14716. DOI: 10.1007/s11356-018-2429-9.
- Kesetyaningsih T. W. (2012). Efficacy of *Annona Squamosa* leaf extract as an insecticide against Cockroach (*Periplaneta americana*). International Conference: Research and Application on Traditional Complementary and Alternative Medicine in Health Care (TCAM) June, 22nd -23rd 2012 Surakarta Indonesia.
- Kimutai, A., Ngeiywa, M., Mulaa, M., Gjagi P. G. N., Ingonga J., Nyamwamu L. B., Ombati C., and Ngumbi P. (2017). Repellent effects of the essential oils of *Cymbopogon citratus* and *Tagetes minuta* on the sandfly, *Phlebotomus duboscqi*. BMC Res. Notes, 10, 98. DOI: 10.1186/s13104-017-2396-0.

Vol. 12 No. 4 | Imp. Factor: 7.0725 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14161408

- Kuganathan N., and GaneshalingamS. (2011). Chemical Analysis of *Datura Metel* Leaves and Investigation of the Acute Toxicity on Grasshoppers and Red Ants. E-Journal of Chemistry, 8(1): 107- 112. DOI: 10.1155/2011/714538.
- Madreseh-Ghahfarokhi S., Pirali Y., Dehghani-Samani A., Dehghani-Samani A. (2018). The insecticidal and repellent activity of ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) and eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus globulus*) essential oils against *Culex theileri Theobald*, 1903 (Diptera: *Culicidae*. Ann Parasitol.; 64(4):351-360. DOI: 10.17420/ap6404.171.
- Matsukawa M., Ito K., Kawakita K., and Tanaka T. et al. (2016). Current status of pesticide use among rice farmers in Cambodia. Appl. Entomol. Zool., 51, 571–579. DOI: 10.1007/s13355-016-0432-5.
- Ministry of Environment (MOE). (2014). National Profile on Chemical Management in Cambodia. Phnom Penh,

 Cambodia. https://www.un.org/esa/dsd/dsd_aofw_ni/ni_pdfs/NationalReports/cambodia/Full_Report.pdf
- Nagata K., Aistrup G. L., Honda H., Shono T., and Narahashi T. (199). Modulation of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor by dioscorine in clonal rat *phaeochlomocytoma* (PC12) cells. Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology, 64, 157-165.
- Rahayu R, Darmis A, and Jannatan R. (2020). Potency of Papaya Leaf (*Carica papaya L.*) as Toxicant and Repellent against German Cockroach (*Blattella germanica L.*). Pak J. Biol. Sci., 23(2):126-131. DOI: 10.3923/pjbs.2020.126.131.
- Ramasamy S., Sotelo P., Lin M., Heng C. H., Kang S., and Sarika S. Validation of a bio-based integrated pest management package for the control of major insect pests on Chinese mustard in Cambodia. Crop Protection, 135, 104728. DOI: 10.1016/j.cropro.2019.02.004.
- Schreinemachers P., Chen H., Nguyen T. T. L., Buntong B., Bouapao L., Gautam S., Le N. T., Pinn T., Vilaysone
- P, and Srinivasan P. (2017). Too Much to Handle? Pesticide Dependence of Smallholder Vegetable Farmers in Southeast Asia. Science of the Total Environment, 593–594, 470–77. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.181.
- Selvaraj C., Kennedy J. S., and Suganthy M. Oviposition deterrence effect of EC formulations of *Strychnos nuxvomica L*. plant extracts against *Plutella xylostella Linn*. Under laboratory conditions. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies, 5(6):180-184.
- Sokcheng S., and Molideth S. (2021). Pesticide Use Practices in Cambodia's Vegetable Farming. Cambodia Development Review, 25 (1): 1–6.
- Udebuani A.C, Abara P.C., Obasi K O, Okuh S.U. (2015). Studies on the insecticidal properties of *Chromolaena odorata* (*Asteraceae*) against adult stage of Periplaneta Americana. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies, 3(1): 318-321.

Vol. 12 No. 4 | Imp. Factor: 7.0725 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14161408

World Health Organization (WHO). (1990). World Health Organization and United Nations Environment Programme. Public health impact of pesticides used in agriculture. World Health Organization, 1990, Avenue Appia 20, Geneva, Switzerland.

Wuryantini S., Harwanto, Yudistira R. A. (2020). The toxicity of the extract of tobacco leaf *Nicotiana tabacum L*, marigold leaf *Tithonia diversifolia* (HAMSLEY) and *citrus japansche citroen peel Citrus limonia* against *citrus psyllid* (*Diaphorina citri Kuwayama*), the vector of citrus HLB disease. The 3rd International Conference on Biosciences, IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 457, 012039. DOI: 10.1088/17551315/457/1/012039.